

Review Article

Treatment trends of rheumatoid arthritis in Japan: Changes toward globalization and its unique innovation

Nobuyuki Miyasaka*

Department of Medicine & Rheumatology, Graduate School, Tokyo Medical & Dental University, Tokyo, Japan

Rec./Acc.1/6/2011

*Corresponding author: Nobuyuki Miyasaka, MD, Professor of Medicine & Rheumatology, Graduate School, Tokyo Medical & Dental University, 1-5-45, Yushima, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, Japan. Phone: +81-3-5803-5201, FAX: +81-3-5684-0057, e-mail: miya.rheu@tmd.ac.jp



Introduction

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is characterized by the inflammation and destruction of multiple joints. It can not only disturb quality of life but also shorten the lifespan of affected patients by causing comorbidities such as cardiovascular diseases¹). Pathology includes new formation of blood vessels, inflammatory cell infiltration and synovial proliferation. Abundant production of proteolytic enzymes such as metalloproteases, and inflammatory cytokines including tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α) and interleukin 6 (IL-6) causes cartilage breakdown and bone destruction which lead to irreversible functional disability. In addition, chemokines produced in situ promotes recruitment of inflammatory cells in the joints.



The ultimate goals in the treatment of RA are to prevent joint damage and restore normal life²). Nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), glucocorticoids and disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMA-RDs) have been widely used in combination to control disease activity without complete success. Methotrexate (MTX), a folic acid antagonist that inhibits DNA and RNA synthesis, is the most potent, and commonly prescribed synthetic DMARD, and can retard joint destruction^{3,4)}. Treating RA early has been recmmended⁵⁾, however, the limitations of conventional DMARDs include insufficient capacity to prevent progression of damage and deterioration of physical function of the patients, and toxicities to the liver, the bone marrow and the lung⁶). Introduction of biologic DMARDs, i.e. biological agents which inhibit multiple activities of inflammatory cytokines such as TNF- α and interleukin-6 (IL-6) have significantly altered the natural course of the disease and prevented joint destruction. Of note, TNF- α blocking agents have shown to be remarkably effective in preventing joint damage progression. Among them, infliximab and adalimumab are both anti-human TNF- α monoclonal antibodies^{7,8)}, and etanercept is a recombinant soluble p75 receptor for TNF- α , respectively⁹). Abatacept is a fusion protein composed of an immunoglobulin fused to the extracellular domain of CTLA-4, a molecule capable of binding B7¹⁰). It is currently used in RA patients who have had an inadequate response to one or more DMARDs including TNF-α blocking agents and also in patients with early stage disease. Rituximab, an anti-CD20 monoc-



lonal antibody is also effective in treating RA patients refractory to TNF- α blocking agents but has not been approved in Japan¹¹). Furthermore, tocilizumab, a humanized anti-II-6 receptor antibody originally developed in Japan, started to be widely used in Japan showing significant efficacy in treating RA patients refractory to conventional treatments^{12,13}.

Characteristics of Japanese patients with RA and physicians taking care of RA patients

There is speculated to be approximately 760,000 patients with RA in Japan, of which 67% are estimated to have moderate to severe in disease activity and are treated under various health insurance programs (Fig. 1). The male and female ratio of RA in Japan is expected to be 1:4, which is comparable to Caucasians. The average body weight of patients is approximately 50 to 55 kg, which is about 20kg less than counterparts in the United States and Europe. This difference should be taken into consideration when a fixed dose of biologics is used, such as etanercept and adalimumab.

The profile of physicians taking care of RA patients in Japan is unique when compared to those in the United States and Europe. Namely, physicians who take care of RA patients in Japan are divided into two populations in terms of their specialties; i.e. internists and orthopedic surgeons. Orthopedic surgeons used to take advantage of treating RA patients in Japan for many years since rehabilitation and reconstructive surgeries were the main treatment modalities for RA in addition to gold injection. However, rheumatologists trained in the field of internal medicine are growing rapidly in number with the introduction of synthetic DMARDs including methotrexate (MTX). Consequently, approximately half of the board members in the Japan College of Rheumatology (JCR) are internists at present. However, most of private physicians taking care of RA in daily practice are still orthopedic surgeons, which is a trend more prominent in the western part of Japan.

JAPAN		<u>USA</u>
oral gold nject gold sulfasalazine (max: 1 D-penicillamine nethotrexate (max: 8		oral gold inject gold sulfasalazine D-penicillamine methotrexate
obenzarit bucillamine mizoribine actarit acrolimus (05)	Domestic brands	hydroxychloroquine azathiopurine minocycline staphylococcal protein A column
leflunomide (03 infliximab + MTX (03 etanercept (08 adalimumab (08 tocilizumab (08 abatacept (10	3) 5) 8) 8)	cyclosporine leflunomide (97) golimumab (08) etanercept (98) certolizumab (09) infliximab + MTX (99) anakinra (01) adalimumab (05) abatacept (05) rituximab (05)



There is approximated to be 36,000 physicians in Japan who prescribe synthetic DMARDs, of which, 3,700 are board-certified rheumatologists by JCR. In addition, there are approximately 5,000 orthopedic rheumatologists certified by the Japanese Orthopedic Association and 4,000 physicians are enrolled as 'registered rheumatologists' by the Japan Rheumatism Foundation. Some overlap with JCR-registered rheumatologists. This turmoil creates confusion among RA patients and also results in concerns of inappropriate use of synthetic and biologic DMARDs. Board-certified rheumatologists should therefore be unified in Japan in the near future to improve their quality and clarify their accountability.



Characteristics in treatment modalities in Japan

Among these 760,000 patients, 430,000 (57%) are considered to be treated with DMARDs, of which about 83,000 (11%) are treated with biologics as of the end of 2009. DMARDs approved in Japan are illustrated in Figure 2. Although DMARDs are the first choice of RA treatment in Japan, there are several synthetic DMARDs mostly approved in Japan, such as bucillamine, actarit and mizoribine. Although most of them lack discrete evidences in haltering joint destruction

in RA but they tend to be administered by private physicians because of fewer adverse effects. Tacrolimus was also approved for RA patients only in Japan showing a significant anti-rheumatic effect¹⁴). However, it does not have robust evidence of preventing joint destruction.

MTX has become an anchor drugs in RA treatment globally, however, it is not a first-choice DMARD in Japan because of the package insert as of the end of 2010. Furthermore, there is a dosing restriction when using MTX, i.e. 8mg/week, which is far below any approved doses in foreign countries, since higher rates of adverse effects using



over 8mg/week without concomitant use of folic acid has observed in Japanese clinical trials conducted back in the 1990's. However, JCR performed an extensive survey using four different cohorts on the efficacy and safety of MTX when used with doses over 8 mg/week, and concluded that MTX up to 16 mg/week can be used effectively and safely in Japanese RA patients with an 'on demand' use of folic acid¹⁵). Application for higher dose of MTX was submitted by Pfizer Japan Inc. in 2010 using these data in addition to the worldwide clinical data available, and is currently being reviewed by the approving agency. Approval of up to 16mg/week of MTX in adult RA patients and its first-line use are expected in early spring of 2011.



Biological agents and their postmarketing surveillance (PMS) programs in Japan

The pharmaceutical market of DMARDs in Japan is calculated to be \$300 million and there is an increasing tendency to prescribe MTX among Japanese physicians every year reaching approximately 50% as of the end of 2009, although this figure is still lower compared with the United States and Europe. In contrast, that of biological DMARDs is increasing more rapidly reaching to \$1 billion (Fig. 3). Most of the biologics prescribed in Japan used to be TNF- α blocking agents, i.e., infliximab and etanercept, since they were the first two biologics approved in Japan. However, tocilizumab and adalimumab are now expanding every year due to their high efficacy and safety. Of note, tocilizumab, an anti-IL-6 receptor monoclonal antibody, has been shown to be effective even in a majority of incomplete responders to TNF- α blocking agents and has just finished a postmarketing surveillance (PMS) program to prove its safety.

Clinical trials revealed higher response

rates to some biologics including infliximab. etanercept and tocilizumab¹⁶⁾. Treatment guidelines for TNF-a blocking agents in Japan were firstly published by JCR in 2005^{17} . Strict guidance in the selection of the target patient population was carried out to avoid "over-use" of biologics since safety information was limited in Japanese clinical trials. Furthermore, all-patient PMS programs have been imposed on all biologics approved in Japan as a condition of regulatory approval. Collection of safety data includes informations regarding serious infections, such as pneumonia and tuberculosis, including the onset, frequency and severity, and details of other adverse events and factors considered to affect the safety of the drug. Special qualifications for each treating physician and clinical site were required and participation of this program was limited to about 400-1,000 out of 25,000 estimated RA treating sites in Japan for all biological agent. Sample population was closely monitored for 24 weeks, the first 5,000 cases on infliximab¹⁸⁾ and 13,894 on etanercept¹⁹⁾. The incidence of total and serious adverse reactions with infliximab were 28.0% and 6.2%, respectively. Bacterial pneumonia developed in 2.2%, tuberculosis in 0.3%, Pneumocystis jirovecii pneumonia in 0.4%. Identified risk factors for bacterial pneumonia were male gender, older age and advanced stage of RA and comorbid respiratory diseases.

Complication of Pneumocystis jirovecii pneumonia (PCP) among Japanese RA patients using biological agents

Strict PMS of infliximab and etanercept revealed that incidences of PCP in Japanese RA patients were higher (0.4% and 0.2%, respectively) than those in Western countries²⁰. The corresponding incidence in the United States is ~0.01%²¹). A multicenter, case-control study of PCP in RA patients receiving infliximab treatment was therefore carried out. Cox proportional-hazards regression analysis found that the hazard ratio for an age of at least 65 years was 3.77 (95% confidence interval (CI), 1.54 to 9.25), that for a daily dose of prednisolone of at least 6mg was 3.76(95% CI, 1.37 to 10.3), and that for the presence of coexisting pulmonary disease was 2.54 (95% CI, 1.00 to 6.46). PCP developed more frequently in patients with two or three

of the risk factors than in the other patients (Fig. 4). A further study from Japan demonstrated that the median length of time from the first infliximab infusion to the development of PCP was 8.5 weeks²²⁾. At the onset of PCP, the median dosages of prednisolone and MTX were 7.5 mg/day and 8 mg/week, respectively. The patients with PCP had significantly lower serum albumin levels (P<0.001) and lower serum IgG levels (P<0.001) than the patients without PCP. Sixteen of the 21 patients with PCP developed acute respiratory failure, but all survived. Of note, there was no development of PCP when prophylactic administration of trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole (TMP/SMX) was given. These studies showed that PCP is a serious and unique complication that may occur early in the course of infliximab treatment in Japanese patients with RA.

Possible explanations for the difference include; 1) anti-TNF therapy may more severely affect host defenses in Japanese patients with RA due to genetic or environmental differences, 2) Japanese patients with RA may have a higher prevalence of prolonged colonization of Pneumocystis jiroveci, or 3) the incidence of PCP in patients with RA receiving anti-TNF therapy in Western countries may be underestimated due to the voluntary reporting system for adverse events. Further study will be needed to clarify this finding.

Conclusion

The treatment of RA in Japan is now changing rapidly. The treatment target is getting tighter with clinical remission or at least low disease activity with MTX being the realistic goal in concordance with global trends. The three types of remission, i.e. clinical, radiographic, and functional remission, have started to be recognized among Japanese rheumatologists. Use of biologics is recommended in the revised treatment guidelines for moderate disease patients²³⁾. Furthermore, treatment guidelines have been continuously revised based on new findings from PMS programs.

A recent study by Tanaka Y et al.²⁴⁾ clearly indicated that after attaining low disease activity with infliximab, 55% of treated patients discontinued infliximab for more than 1 year without progression of radiological joint destruction. Further clinical trials



aimed at "biologic-free" remission are being conducted for several biologics as the next treatment goal in Japan. These trials again suggest scientific and clinical uniqueness of Japanese rheumatologists.

It is obvious that we are entering 'Biologics era' with 5 biological agents in Japan. With over 100,000 patients estimated on any of biologic agents at the end of 2010, they are no longer seen as 'investigational treatments' and become essential to prevent joint destruction in RA. Clinical data generated from PMS programs for biological agents in Japan are robust and considered to be the highest levels of clinical evidence in the world. Japan is now expected to play an important role in providing "state-of-the art" evidence to other countries, rather than learning from others.

Acknowledgement

The author thanks all the pharmaceutical companies for generously supplying marketing data of synthetic and biological DMARDs and also Dr. Jane Oliver for her scientific advice and grammatical editing.

Rferences

- 1) van Doornum S, et al.: Accelerated atherosclerosis. An extraarticular feature of rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum. 2002; 46: 862.
- 2) American College of Rheumatology Subcommittee on Rheumatoid Arthritis Guidelines. Guidelines for the management of rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum. 2002; 46: 328-346.
- Weinblatt ME, Kaplan H, Germain BE, et al.: Methotrexate in rheumatoid arthritis. A 5-year prospective muticenter study. Arthritis Rheum. 1994; 37: 1492-1498.
- Kremer JM, Phelps CT: Long-term prospective study of the use of methotrexate in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. Update after a mean of 90 months. Arthritis Rheum. 1992; 35: 138-145.
- 5) O'Dell J: Treating rheumatoid arthritis early: a window of opportunity. Arthritis Rheum. 2002; 46: 283-285.
- 6) Breedveld FC, Kalden JR: Appropriate and effective mangement of rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis. 2004; 63: 627-633.
- 7) Maini RN, St Clair EW, Breedveld FC, Furst DE, Kalden JR, Weisman M. et al.: Infliximab (chimeric anti-tumour necrosis factor alpha monoclonal antibody) versus placebo in rheumatoid arthritis patients receiving con-

comitant methotrexate: a randomised phase III trial. ATTRACT study Group. Lancet. 1999; 354: 1932-1939.

- 8) den Broeder A, van de Putte L, Rau R, et al.: A single dose, placebo controlled study of the fully human anti-tumor necrosis factor-alpha antibody adalimumab (D2E7) in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. J Rheumatol. 2002; 29: 2288-2298.
- Genovese MC, Kremer JM: Treatment of rheumaoid arthritis with etanercept. Rheum. Dis. Clin. N. Am. 2004; 30: 311-328.
- 10) Linsley PS, Wallace PM, Johnson J, et al.: Immunosuppression in vivo by a soluble form of the CTLA-4 cell activation molecule. Science 1992; 257: 792-795.
- 11) Edwards JCW, Cambridge G: Prospects for B-cell-targeted therapy in autoimmune disease. Rheumatol. 2005; 44: 151-156.
- 12) Nishimoto N, Yoshizaki K, Miyasaka N, Yamamoto K, Kawai S, Takeuchi T, et al.: Treatment of rheumatoid arthritis with humanized anti-interleukin-6 receptor antibody. A mutlicenter, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Arthritis Rheum. 2004; 50: 1761-1769.
- 13) Nishimoto N, Hashimoto J, Miyasaka N, et al.: Study of active controlled monotherapy used for rheumatoid arthritis, a IL-6 inhibitor (SAMURAI); evidence of clinical and radiographic benefit from an X-ray reader-blinded randomised controlled trial of tocilizumab. Ann Rheum Dis. 2007; 66: 2262-2267.
- 14) Kawai S, Hashimoto H, Kondo H, Murayama T, Abe T: Comparison of tacrolimus and mizoribine in a randomized, double-blind controlled study in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. J Rheumatol. 2006; 33: 2151-2161.
- 15) http://www.ryumachi.jp.com/info/news091028. html
- 16) Takeuchi T, Kameda H: The Japanese experience with biologic therapies for rheumatoid arthritis. Nat Rev Rheumatol. doi: 10. 1038 /nrheum. 2010; 154.
- 17) Miyasaka N, Takeuchi T, Eguchi K: Proposed Japanese guidelines for the use of infliximab for rheumatoid arthritis. Mod Rheumatol. 2005; 15: 4-8.
- 18) Takeuchi T, Tatsui Y, Nogami Y, Ishiguro N, Tanaka Y, Yamanaka H, Kamatani N, Harigai M, Ryu J, Inoue K, Kondo H, Inokuma S, Ochi T, Koike T: Postmarketing surveillance of the safety profile of infliximab in 5000 Japanese patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rhem Dis. 2007; 67: 189-194.

- 19) Koike T, Harigai M, Inokuma S, Inoue K, Ishiguro N, Ryu J, Takeuchi T, Tanaka Y, Yamanaka H, Fujii K, Freundlich B, Suzukawa M: Postmarketing surveillance of the safety and effectiveness of etanercept in Japan. J Rheumatol. 2009; 36: 898-906.
- 20) Harigai M, Koike R, Miyasaka N: Pneumocystis pneumonia associated with infliximab in Japan. New Engl J Med. 2007; 357: 1874-1876.
- 21) Kaur N, Mahl TC: *Pneumocystis jiroveci (carinii)* pneumonia after infliximab therapy: a review of 84 cases. Dig Dis Sci. 2007; 52: 1481-1484.
- 22) Komano Y, Harigai M, Koike R, Sugiyama H, Ogawa J, Saito K, Seiguchi N, Inoo M, Onishi I, Ohashi H, Amamoto F, Miyata M, Ohtsubo H, Hramatsu K, Iwamoto M, Minot Se, Matsuoka N, Kageyama G, Imaizumi K, Tokuda H, Okochi Y, Kudo K, Tanaka Y, Takeuchi T, Miyasaka N: *Pneumocystis jiroveci* pneumonia in patients with rheumatoid arthritis treated with infliximab: a retrospective review and case-control study of 21 patients. Arthritis Rheum. 2009; 61: 305-312.
- 23) Koike R, Takeuchi T, Eguchi K, Miyasaka N. Update on the Japanese guidelines for the use of infliximab and etanercept in rheumatoid arthritis. Mod Rheumatol. 2007; 17: 451-458.
- 24) Tanaka Y, Takeuchi T, Mimori T, Saito K, Nawata M, Kameda H, Nojima T, Miyasaka N, Koike T: for the RRR study investigators. Discontinuation of infliximab after attaining low disease activity in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: RRR (remission induction by Remicade in RA) study. Ann Rheum Dis. 2010; 69: 1286-1291.